



# Electronic Health Record Conversion Plans

---

Why is HBHS preparing to incur the inconvenience  
and expense of a major software conversion?

Dr. Bill Morehouse – June 2014



# EHR Definitions

- **EHR** – Electronic Health Record
- **EMR** – Electronic Medical Record (alone)
- **PMP** or Practice Management Program – handles billing and scheduling but not medical records
- **All-In-One** or Integrated System – handles PMP and EMR in one system = EHR
- **MU** or “Meaningful Use” – a Federal stimulus program to reward practices progressively for demonstrating EHR proficiency
- **PCMH** or Patient Centered Medical Home – a way of managing comprehensive patient care that relies heavily on EHR reporting
- **Dashboard** – an EHR program that displays reports graphically



# Current Players

- **AHP** – Accountable Health Partners, an association of primary care and specialty providers affiliated with URMC that is negotiating increased reimbursement based on quality of ambulatory care
- **CMMI** – Center for Medicare & Medicaid Innovation, our generous “practice improvement” grant source
- **HST** – Health Systems Technology, our current EHR provider, developed and supported locally with a relatively small user base
- **Medent** – an EHR system with a much more substantial user base, developed and supported out of Auburn, NY,
- **URMC** – The University of Rochester Medical Center, a consortium of affiliated teaching hospitals and practices most recently known as “Strong Health”



# Medical Records History

- **1977** – Practice opened with “advanced” Family Practice paper chart system, including family folders with individual patient charts, each with separate Problem, Medication, and Progress Note templates
- **1985** – Electronic patient billing/bookkeeping added
- **1987** – Electronic patient scheduling added
- **1990** – Paper family folders sorted and separated into individual patient charts, each reorganized internally with inactive old charts archived to basement
- **2001** – Paper medical records transitioned to new EMR system in an inexpensive “do-it-yourself program called SOAPware
- **2004** – Patient bookkeeping, billing, scheduling, and medical records all converted over to HST integrated suite
- **2014** – Plans underway to convert entire system over to Medent



# Environmental Dynamics

- **Billing** – has become exponentially more complex and demanding, and HBHS is now on verge of converting to clinic billing
- **Practice Management** – needs much more detailed analysis of patient scheduling and cash flow as health center grows
- **Patient Management** – MU and PCMH require more flexible, detailed, and accessible dashboard data
- **Quality of care** based reimbursement models, modeled by Accountable Health Partners, require access to data
- **Sharing** medical records with hospital systems, specialists, and other providers is becoming essential
- **Conversion** from ICD 9 to ICD 10 is coming up in 2015



# Weighed in the Balance

## HST Negatives

---

- PMP has old technology base and is unable to provide needed management reports
- Missing features (ACOG) with spotty support history
- Relatively steep learning curve, no training
- Small user base
- Concern about ability to keep up, become compatible
- No clinic billing experience

## Medent Positives

---

- Excellent reporting capacity
- Fully featured with exemplary user support
- Very user friendly, extensive training
- Large user base
- Committed to being locally and nationally compatible
- Supports several licensed clinics, including Buffalo's large Jericho Road Christian CHC



# Bonus Influences

- **Sponsored** by AHP with upgrade and licensing costs underwritten 85% by URMC for first 15 months
- **Agreement** between Medent and AHP/URMC to fully integrate with URMC eRecord EHR, data, and dashboards
- **Billing** conversion from “private practice” to “clinic” billing facilitated by closing out old Accounts Receivable on current system, initiating clinic billing on new system
- **CMMI** funding available through grant, as well as IT support for conversion and ongoing PCMH activities
- **Savings** anticipated with diminishing monthly HST support – also MU stimulus funds available if needed



# Costs Anticipated

## Human Resources

---

- Migration of data from old to new systems – very tricky but good team
- Training staff this summer will take time and effort
- Getting used to new system, working out “bugs” this fall

## Financial – 15 months

---

- Initial licensing/support
  - \$13K HBI - \$76K URMC
- Hardware buy/install
  - \$22K HBI
- Hardware support, online backup - \$4K HBI
- HST close out monthly support for 6-9 months
  - est. \$5K? HBI





## Bottom Line

- **Consensus** has been reached unanimously among staff that conversion is needed and that this is the time
- **Total cost** to HBI for first 15 months will be \$39K, of which about \$14 K will come from HST support savings, \$20K from CMMI grant, and \$5K from either cash flow or MU funds
- **Improvements** in reimbursement, billing, practice efficiency, and practice management should more than make up for expense over the next 2 years



# Questions?



our current system

our new system

